Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/675,071	TRAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Myles D. Robinson	2625
All Participants: Status of Application: Request for Continued Examination		
(1) Myles D. Robinson.	(3)	
(2) <u>Susan Mizer</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 September 2008	Time: <u>4:15PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 2		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner proposed a minor amendment to better match the dependent claim with the statutory category of its parent claim. The Applicant agreed with the proposed amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	the examiner will provide a writton the examiner will provide a writton the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Myles D. Robinson/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)